SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_AJEA_6265**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EFFECTS OF LOW PROTEIN DIETS SUPPLEMENTED WITH HIGH AMINO ACIDS (METHIONINE AND LYSINE) ON PERFORMANCE OF BROILERS.**
Type of the Article	**Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1/ In Abstract, first sentence should be checked. 2/ In Abstract, sentences about conclusion should be checked. 3/ In Introduction, sentence line 30 was repeated in line 37, sentence in line 42 should be corrected. 4/ In Material and Methods, sentences lines 55, 61, 63, 66, 70 and 72 should be corrected.. Description of experimental diets needs more explanation. 5/ In Results and Discussion, Site of Table 1 within Material and Methods not within Result and discussion. Sentence line 87 is repetition to that mentioned before in Material and Methods. Significance is (P< 0.05) and between 2 brackets not 4 brackets. Lines 92 and 93 better than word "similar" use no significant difference. Line 96 no significance is (P> 0.05). Sentences lines 114, 119, 138 and 143 should be corrected. Line 143 word "they" should be replaced by authors. 6/ In References list, Line 190 reference No.2, line 204 reference No. 7, line 209 reference No. 9, line 212 reference No. 10, line 222 reference No. 14, line 226 reference No. 16, line 235 reference No. 19, line 243 reference No. 22 and line 246 reference No. 23 should be corrected. References No. 11 and No. 18 are the same reference. Citation of	All suggestions have been addressed.

	references No. 21 and 24 should be completed. 7/ References list is not in the style of the journal. 8/ The punctuation of the text and references list should be checked.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		